



GAU 2828

J.H. Fischer 42

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Applicant(s): Jonathan H. Fischer  
Case: 42  
Serial No.: 10/039,321  
Filing Date: November 9, 2001  
Group: 2828  
Examiner: Dung T. Nguyen

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature: Lucia M. Hanley Date: June 13, 2003

Title: Optical Source Driver with Output  
Load Detection Circuit

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith is the following document relating to the above-identified patent application:

(1) Response to Office Action.

There is no additional fee due in conjunction with the response. In the event of any non-payment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge or to credit **Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP Deposit Account No. 50-0762** as required to correct the error.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 13, 2003

Joseph B. Ryan  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Reg. No. 37,922  
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP  
90 Forest Avenue  
Locust Valley, NY 11560  
(516) 759-7517

RECEIVED  
JUN 18 2003  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800



J.H. Fischer 42

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Applicant(s): Jonathan H. Fischer  
Case: 42  
Serial No.: 10/039,321  
Filing Date: November 9, 2001  
Group: 2828  
Examiner: Dung T. Nguyen

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature: Jonathan H. Fischer Date: June 13, 2003

Title: Optical Source Driver with Output  
Load Detection Circuit

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The following remarks are submitted in response to the outstanding non-final Office Action dated March 13, 2003 in the above-identified application.

REMARKS

The present application was filed on November 9, 2001 with claims 1-17. Claims 1-17 remain pending. Claims 1, 16 and 17 are the independent claims.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in view of the remarks below.

Claims 1, 15, 16 and 17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, on the ground that it is allegedly unclear how an "output indicator" generated by the claimed output load detection circuit is "utilizable in the driver circuit to control the modulation current." Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection. An illustrative embodiment of the invention as described in the specification clearly sets forth one possible manner in which an output indicator generated by an

5#1/Response  
RECEIVED  
JUL 10 2003  
TECHNICAL CENTER 2800  
WBM